

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Eating disorders (update)

Stakeholder Comments – Draft Scope

<u>NOTE:</u>		
<p>NICE is unable to accept comments from non-registered organisations or individuals. If you wish your comments to be considered but are not a registered stakeholder, please register via the NICE website or contact the registered stakeholder organisation that most closely represents your interests and pass your comments to them.</p> <p>Please fill in both the ‘stakeholder organisation’ and ‘name of commentator’ fields below in order for your comments to be considered.</p>		
Stakeholder organisation:		<u>Association of Child Psychotherapists</u>
Name of commentator:		<u>Cathy Troupp</u>
Comment No.	Section number Indicate number or ‘general’ if your comment relates to the whole document	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table
Example	3.4.6	Our comments are as follows
Proformas that are not correctly submitted as detailed in the line above may be returned to you.		
1	P1: who the guideline is for	Include psychotherapists and child and adolescent psychotherapists in the professional groups listed. Many CAMHS and private sector in-patient and out-patient ED units for both adults and young people employ psychotherapists. (Please note child and adolescent psychotherapy is also a core CAMHS profession.)
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

PLEASE NOTE: The Institute reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, where in the reasonable opinion of the Institute, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.

Please add extra rows as needed.

Please email this form to: EatingDisordersUpdate@nice.org.uk

Closing date: 5pm on 3 March 2015

PLEASE NOTE: The Institute reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, where in the reasonable opinion of the Institute, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.